ACA49 – Review of Deferred Exam Committee Decision
not to grant a deferred exam

|  |
| --- |
| Important |
| *When the Deferred Exam Committee (DEC) declined your application, you were informed of the DEC’s reason for declining your application. If you believe the reason provided by the DEC is not rational or justifiable, then you may seek a review in which you make the case that the DEC made an irrational or unjustifiable decision. This is not the same as appealing on the merits of the case.* *The VC-appointed reviewer then has to decide if the DEC decision was rational and justifiable or not.  The reviewer will be given access to all forms you presented to the DEC.**The reviewer cannot consider any new information as the DEC would not have had sight of it when they made their decision. It is the DEC decision that is under review, and not your DE application.* *If you wish to present new information (that is, information not yet seen by the DEC) this must be sent to the DEC for reconsideration (using a PeopleSoft service request).**Submit the completed form to* DECReview@uct.ac.za |
| Personal Details |
| Title |  | Student Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First Name |  | Last Name |  |
| Faculty |  |
| Signature  |  | Date |  |
| Course(s) Declined |
| Course Code | Course Code | Course Code |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Submission Details |
| Date of notification of DEC decision |  |
| Reason provided by the DEC for declining(Copy this from the note on the service request page) |  |
| State why the DEC decision is irrational or unjustifiable.(Focus on the reason provided by the DEC, not the merit of your appeal. It is not necessary to attach your application – the reviewer will have access to this). |  |